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Abstract
The use of *Cannabis sativa* by humans dates back several thousand years, for both its psychotomimetic and potential medicinal properties. As scientific research methods developed, the cannabinoids present in this herb were characterized, as well as their complex interface with the human central nervous system, provided by the activation of specific receptors. The subsequent description of an endogenous cannabinoid system in the mammalian brain shifted the notion of cannabis as a recreational drug to a therapeutic alternative for psychiatric disorders. However, the neuroanatomical sites mediating its effects have remained uncertain. In the present paper, we review recent data suggesting that the midbrain periaqueductal gray may be a structure involved in the anxiolytic-like effects of cannabinoids. Keywords: cannabis, endocannabinoids, anxiety, periaqueductal gray.

Introduction

The earliest record of *Cannabis sativa* use by humans was found approximately 12000 years ago (Beaulieu & Rice, 2002). Cannabis is known to produce euphoria, sensory perception imbalance, tachycardia, antinociception, concentration difficulties, memory impairment, antiemesis, and increased appetite (Ameri, 1999; Di Marzo & Matias, 2005). However, only in the last half-century have these effects of cannabis become better understood, attributable to the discovery and synthesis of its main psychoactive component, Δ⁹-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). The psychotropic effects of marijuana are attributed to this compound. The plant has dozens of substances known as phytocannabinoids, which all share lipophilic properties and are still being studied for their possible beneficial effects in humans.

Characterization of the endocannabinoid system

Cannabinoids are natural or synthetic substances that resemble or derive from the phytocannabinoids described above. They induce their pharmacological effects *in vivo* by activating two receptor subtypes that have been identified and cloned: the CB₁ receptor, which is highly expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) at presynaptic axon terminals (Devane et al., 1988; Matsuda, Lolait, Brownstein, Young, & Bonner, 1990), and the CB₂ receptor, which is located mainly in peripheral tissues at the level of the immune system (Munro, Thomas, & Abu-Shaar, 1993). The brain distribution of CB₁ binding sites correlates with the effects of cannabinoids on emotional states. CB₁ receptors are densely expressed in a group of structures hypothesized to be the neuroanatomical substrate for stress, aversion, fear, and anxiety, including the prefrontal cortex, amygdala, hippocampus, periaqueductal gray (PAG), and hypothalamus (Herkenham et al., 1990). After the identification of the first cannabinoid receptor, the search for an endogenous ligand for this receptor began. The first endogenous cannabinoid ligand, or endocannabinoid, was discovered in 1992 when the arachidonic acid (AA) derivative arachidonylethanolamide (AEA; also named “anandamide” from the Sanskrit “ananda,” meaning “bliss”) was isolated from porcine brain (Devane et al., 1992). Anandamide was found to induce pharmacological actions similar to those of cannabinoids, such as THC, and to be the principal endogenous ligand for CB₁ receptors. 2-Arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), another endocannabinoid and an arachidonic acid derivative, was then soon discovered by Mechoulam et al. (1995). These two ligands are considered the most biologically important endocannabinoids, though other endocannabinoids have also been described, including 2-arachidonoylglycerol (noladin), O-arachidonylethanolamine (virodhamine), and N-arachidonoyldopamine (De Petrocellis & Di Marzo, 2010).
Endocannabinoids are believed to be produced from phospholipid precursors and are released upon demand after cellular depolarization or receptor stimulation in a calcium-dependent manner. Endocannabinoids are not stored in vesicles as classical neurotransmitters—they are promptly diffused from the postsynaptic neuron to the synaptic cleft. They then bind to presynaptic CB$_1$ receptors to exert their effects. By activating CB$_1$ receptors, endocannabinoids can inhibit both excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission from presynaptic terminals (Wilson & Nicoll, 2002). Termination of endocannabinoid signaling occurs through a reuptake mechanism (possibly mediated by a selective transporter) and subsequent enzymatic metabolism. AEA is hydrolyzed by the enzyme fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH), whereas 2-AG undergoes catabolism by a different enzyme, monoacylglyceride lipase (De Petrocellis & Di Marzo, 2009). Altogether, the cannabinoid receptors, their endogenous ligands, and their respective catabolic enzymes constitute the endocannabinoid system. Despite

**Figure 1.** Illustration of endocannabinoid synthesis, retrograde signaling, and uptake.
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**Table 1.** Timeline of cannabis pharmacology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>Identification of Δ$^9$-THC as the main psychoactive compound of cannabis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Development of synthetic cannabinoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Identification of the CB$_1$ receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Cloning of the CB$_1$ receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Identification of the CB$_1$ receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discovery of the endogenous ligand anandamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Cloning of the CB$_2$ receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Development of a CB$_1$ antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Discovery of endocannabinoids 2-AG and palmitoylethanolamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Development of a CB$_2$ antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Evidence of the analgesic properties of endocannabinoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Development of knockout mice lacking the gene expressing CB$_1$ receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Development of knockout mice lacking the gene expressing CB$_2$ receptor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Adapted from Beaulieu & Rice, 2002)
enormous advances in this field, the endocannabinoid system has not been fully characterized, and many of its mechanisms remain unknown.

Remarkable advances in cannabinoid pharmacology have occurred based on the identification of endocannabinoid compounds and the development of potent and selective synthetic cannabinoid agonists, antagonists, and enzymatic blockers.

Cannabinoids and anxiety

The anxiolytic-like effects of cannabinoids have been investigated in several studies in humans and animals. Anatomical, biochemical, and pharmacological evidence indicates that endocannabinoid signaling is important in the control of emotional behavior, although its effects may be contradictory in humans and animals, depending on such variables as drug dose, genetic background, and environmental context (Moreira et al., 2003; Viveros et al., 2005). Even under recreational conditions, marijuana users describe paradoxical effects on anxiety (Zuardi et al., 2005). In animal studies, systemic injections of ∆9-THC or its synthetic analogs have exerted bidirectional effects on anxiety-related behaviors, eliciting either anxiogenic- or anxiolytic-like responses. Lower doses of cannabinoids are apparently anxiolytic, whereas higher doses may exacerbate anxious behavior (Viveros et al., 2005; Zuardi et al., 2008). Some of the possible reasons for these controversial effects include the recruitment of other neurocircuits, the specific pharmacological pathway investigated, and the sensitivity of the model employed.

An integrative hypothesis for the bimodal effects of cannabinoids on anxiety proposes that they may occur as a result of the distinct roles of CB1 receptors in different brain regions, in addition to the drug and dose range used and the differential sensitivity of the receptors to these compounds (Viveros et al., 2005). The bimodal effects may also be related to the close cooperation between the endocannabinoid system and other neurotransmitter circuits, including γ-aminobutyric acid and glutamate systems (Moreira et al., 2009). In addition to direct agonism of cannabinoid receptors, pharmacological studies may employ other strategies such as inhibition of endocannabinoid uptake or metabolism. Pharmacological blockade of FAAH by URB597 and URB532 produces anxiolytic-like effects in the elevated zero-maze in adult rats, suggesting that increased AEA levels may interfere with the modulation of emotional states. Therefore, FAAH inhibition may be a valuable approach for anti-anxiety therapy because it is not accompanied by any signs of cannabinoid intoxication (Kathuria et al., 2003). Thus, augmentation of endocannabinoid signaling has anxiolytic effects, whereas blockade or genetic deletion of CB1 receptors has anxiogenic properties (for review, see Patel & Hillard, 2009).

A functional approach for investigating the role of the endocannabinoid system as part of the complex circuitry that regulates anxiety arises from the high density of CB1 receptors in brain structures presumably involved in anxiety-related responses, such as the PAG. This midbrain structure has been proposed to be involved in the perception of pain, “fight-or-flight” responses, and behaviors related to fear, anxiety, and panic (Del-Ben & Graeff, 2009). To thoroughly investigate the overall interaction between the endocannabinoid system and the PAG on anxiety-related behaviors, experiments with intracerebral drug injections have been performed in rats. The effects of intra-PAG injections of cannabinoids have been evaluated in diverse animal models.

Moreira et al. (2007) showed that direct AEA injection into the PAG increased exploration of the open arms of the elevated plus maze, an animal model predictive of anxiety-like behavior. This anxiolytic-like effect was prevented by pretreatment with the CB1 receptor antagonist AM251, whereas the AEA uptake/metabolism inhibitor AM404 potentiated this effect. Subsequent studies extended these data to other models. Local injection of cannabinoids into the PAG also induced anxiolytic-like effects in the Vogel conflict test, an animal model based on the suppression of punished responses (Lisboa et al., 2008). Direct activation of CB1 receptors by intra-PAG injection of AEA or AM404 also blocked the expression of conditioned responses; this effect was blocked by a CB1 antagonist, implicating CB1 receptors in the modulation of conditioned responses (Resstel et al., 2008).

The bimodal effects on anxiety produced by pharmacological tools that enhance endocannabinoid signaling appear to rely also on fine differences between the animal models employed to investigate its influence on behavior (Moreira et al., 2009). Nevertheless, because of its reliable, stable effects on anxiety, blockade of endocannabinoid hydrolysis via inactivation of FAAH appears to be a promising alternative for the development of anti-anxiety drugs, although many aspects of the endocannabinoid system and the utility of other cannabinoids remain uncertain.

Conclusion

The endocannabinoid system is a ubiquitous signaling system that has important regulatory functions in the CNS. Extensive biochemical and neurobiological research has attempted to elucidate how the brain interacts with the major components of Cannabis sativa and their endogenous analogs, the endocannabinoids. The distribution of cannabinoid receptors in specific structures in the mammalian brain
indicates that the endocannabinoid system participates in the regulation of mood and emotional behaviors. CB₁ receptors are broadly distributed throughout the PAG, which has been considered an important component of a network of brain structures that process anxiety-like behavior (Graeff & Del-Ben, 2008). Animal models and pharmacological manipulation of the endocannabinoid system, either by stimulating the production and release of endocannabinoids or by blocking their catabolism, have suggested the importance of this system in emotional behavior. Scientific research has explored the controversial, bimodal effects of cannabinoids on human anxiety, revealing the delicate balance between the potential therapeutic use and unique side-effect profile of cannabis and cannabinoids. Any attempt to develop endocannabinoid-based therapeutics for anxiety disorders must weigh the benefits against any undesirable or unpredictable effects.
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